

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/781,349	02/17/2004	Markus Oliver Hannebauer	7390-X04-029	9231	
27317 7590 05/15/2008 Fleit Gibbons Gutman Bongini & Bianco PL 21355 EAST DIXIE HIGHWAY			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			ABDUL-ALI, OMAR R		
SUITE 115 MIAMI, FL 33	1180		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2178		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/781,349 HANNEBAUER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit OMAR ABDUL-ALI 2178 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper Not(s)/Nati Date

Paper Not(s)/Nati Date

Paper Not(s)/Nati Date

Statement Paper Not Paper Notice National Paper Nat

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/781,349 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

### DETAILED ACTION

The following action is in response to the response filed February 15, 2008. Amended Claims 1-40 are pending and have been considered below.

# Response to Amendment

 The affidavit filed on February 15, 2008 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Jaeger (US 2005/0068290) reference.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary sikil in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
   <u>Lynn et al.</u> (US 6,993,709) in view of <u>Farrah</u> (US 2004/0030997) and further in view of <u>Balthaser</u> (US 7,000,180).
- Claims 1, 8, and 15: <u>Lynn</u> discloses a method for entering a presentation into a computer, comprising:
  - a. providing a container having a set of container grid lines (Fig 5A);
- b. providing a set of graphical objects, each graphical object of the set of graphical objects having a set of object grid lines (Fig 5A, '24);

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/781,349
Art Unit: 2178

- c. selecting one of the graphical objects of the set of graphical objects (column 7, lines 33-45);
- d. positioning of the selected one of the graphical objects within the container (column 7, lines 33-45);
- e. if one object grid line of the set of object grid lines of the one of the graphical objects is positioned on one of the container grid lines: binding of the one object grid line to the one container grid line (Figure 5C). Lynn discloses positioning attaching a selected object to attraction points on a grid. The surrounding box 42 is bound with the container grid line through the location of the attraction points.

Farrah discloses a similar system for creating an artwork that further discloses if one object grid line is not positioned on a container grid line: generating additional container grid lines at the current position of the one object grid line and binding the object into a region in the container (page 10, paragraph 233). However, Farrah does not explicitly disclose the additional grid lines are movable. Balthaser discloses similar methods, systems, and processes for entering a presentation into a computer that further discloses generating horizontal and vertical "guides" (additional container grid lines) that are movable and may be snapped to grid lines and objects. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that additional movable grid lines could be generated to bind the graphic object in Lynn to a container region. One would have been motivated to generate additional movable grid lines in order to freely place a graphic object in any space on the container.

Art Unit: 2178

Claims 2, 9, and 16: Lynn, Farrah, and Balthaser disclose a method for entering a presentation into a computer as in Claims 1, 8, and 15 above, and Farrah further discloses that the additional container grid lines are generated and bound when the selected one of the graphical objects is located within the container (page 10, paragraph 233). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to generate additional container grid lines and bind the graphic object in Lynn when the object is positioned within the container. One would have been motivated to generate additional grid lines when the object is placed in the container in order to provide the user with the freedom to place a graphic object in any space on the container. As in Claims 1, 8, and 15, the property that the additional container grid lines are movable is incorporated from Balthaser.

Claims 3, 10, and 17: <u>Lynn</u>, <u>Farrah</u>, and <u>Balthaser</u> disclose a method for entering a presentation into a computer as in Claims 1, 8, and 15 above, and <u>Farrah</u> further discloses generating additional rectangular grid lines when a further graphical object has been located in the container area that bind the object to the plurality of grid lines that are parallel to the edges of the area (page 10, paragraph 233). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to generate additional container grid lines when a second graphical object is positioned within the container in <u>Lynn</u> and bind this additional graphical object to the grid lines defined by a first graphical object and the container grid lines. One would

Art Unit: 2178

have been motivated to generate and bind the additional grid lines when the second object is placed in order to freely place a second object in the container, with respect to a first object so that alignment will be preserved. As in Claims 1, 8, and 15, the property that the additional container grid lines are movable is incorporated from <u>Balthaser</u>.

Claims 4, 11, and 18: <u>Lynn</u>, <u>Farrah</u>, and <u>Balthaser</u> disclose a method for entering a presentation into a computer as in Claims 1, 8, and 15 above, and <u>Lynn</u> further discloses:

a. the container grid lines and the object grid lines of graphical objects of the set of graphical objects positioned within the container provide a grid with snap-to-grid functionality (column 1, lines 35-44).

Claims 5, 12, and 19: <u>Lynn</u>, <u>Farrah</u>, and <u>Balthaser</u> disclose a method for entering a presentation into a computer as in Claims 1, 8, and 15 above, and <u>Farrah</u> further discloses resizing graphical objects in order to keep them in proportion relative to one another according to a rule describing the relationship between two graphical objects (page 6, paragraph 137). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to assign constraints to a subset of graphical objects in <u>Lynn</u> and use an automatic constraint solver for resolution of the constraints. One would have been motivated to assign constraints to specific graphical objects in order to properly display these objects in the layout according to specific requirements.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/781,349

Art Unit: 2178

Claims 6, 13, and 20: <u>Lynn</u> and <u>Farrah</u> disclose a method for entering a presentation into a computer as in Claims 1, 8, and 15 above, and Lynn further discloses:

a. the binding between grid lines establishes a spatial constraint that the grid lines are co-located (Figure 5A).

Claims 7, 14, and 21: <u>Lynn</u> and <u>Farrah</u> disclose a method for entering a presentation into a computer as in Claims 1, 8, and 15 above, and <u>Lynn</u> further discloses:

 a. using the one graphical object as a second container for positioning a further graphical object (Figure 7/text).

Claims 22, 28, and 35: Lynn, Farrah, and Balthaser disclose a method for entering a presentation into a computer as in Claims 1, 8, and 15 above, and Balthaser further discloses setting a constraint on a position of said movable additional grid line (snap to grid), and positioning said movable additional grid line in said container based on said constraint (column 37, lines 23-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set a constraint on a position of said movable additional grid line, and position said movable grid line in said container based on said constraint in Lynn. One would have been motivated to set a constraint on a position of said movable additional grid line in order to preserve the alignment of the graphical objects with container grid lines.

Art Unit: 2178

Claims 23, 29, and 36: Lynn, Farrah, and Balthaser disclose a method for entering a presentation into a computer as in Claims 22, 28, and 35 above, and Balthaser further discloses positioning said selected one of said graphical objects based on the binding of said movable additional grid line to said one of said object grid lines and on said constraint (column 37, lines 23-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position said selected one of said graphical objects based on the binding of said movable additional grid line to said one of said object grid lines and on said constraint in Lynn. One would have been motivated to position a selected graphical object based on the binding of said movable additional grid line and an object grid line on said constraint in order to preserve the alignment of the graphical objects with container grid lines.

Claims 24, 30, and 37: Lynn, Farrah, and Balthaser disclose a method for entering a presentation into a computer as in Claims 22, 28, and 35 above, and Balthaser further discloses snapping guide lines (additional movable grid line) to grid lines and components. Farrah discloses sizing objects based on the grid rectangle size (page 3, paragraph 68). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to size said selected one of said graphical objects based on the binding of said movable additional grid line to said one of said object grid lines and on said constraint in Lynn. One would have been motivated to size the selected graphical object based on the binding of the movable additional grid line to one of said

Art Unit: 2178

object grid lines and on said constraint to preserve the alignment of the graphical objects with container grid lines.

Claims 25, 31, and 38: <u>Lynn</u>, <u>Farrah</u>, and <u>Balthaser</u> disclose a method for entering a presentation into a computer as in Claims 1, 8, and 15 above, and <u>Lynn</u> further discloses setting a constraint based on a type of said selected one of said graphical objects (column 12, lines 35-48).

Claims 26 and 32: <u>Lvnn</u>, <u>Farrah</u>, and <u>Balthaser</u> disclose a method for entering a presentation into a computer as in Claims 1, and 8 above, and <u>Balthaser</u> further discloses entering a user-defined constraint (guide snap on/off) on said additional movable grid line (column 37, lines 23-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to enter a user defined constraint on said additional movable grid line in <u>Lvnn</u>. One would have been motivated to enter a user-defined constraint on said additional movable grid line in order to allow the user to control the rules that are applied to the additional grid lines.

Claims 27 and 33: <u>Lynn</u>, <u>Farrah</u>, and <u>Balthaser</u> disclose a method for entering a presentation into a computer as in Claims 26 and 32 above, and <u>Farrah</u> further discloses selecting said user-defined constraint from the group consisting of maintaining a spacing of said container grid lines, setting an aspect ratio of said selected one of said graphical objects, and a minimum size of said selected one of said graphical objects,

Art Unit: 2178

and text formatting of said selected one of said graphical objects (page 6, paragraph 136) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select said user defined constraint from the claimed group in <a href="Lynn"><u>Lynn</u></a>. One would have been motivated to enter a user-defined constraint on said additional movable grid line in order to allow the user to control the rules that are applied to the additional grid lines.

Claim 34: <a href="Nynn, Farrah">Nynn, Farrah</a>, and <a href="Balthaser">Balthaser</a> disclose a method for entering a presentation into a computer as in Claim 8 above, but none of the references explicitly disclose a plug-in computer product, which executes the computer program in conjunction with a general presentation program. However, plug-in computer products are well know in the computer arts, and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to execute the computer program using a plug-in product in <a href="Lynn">Lynn</a>. One would have been motivated to use a plug-in product to execute the computer program for design choice.

Claim 39: <u>Lynn</u>, <u>Farrah</u>, and <u>Balthaser</u> disclose a method for entering a presentation into a computer as in Claim 15 above, and <u>Lynn</u> further discloses an input device for inputting of a user-defined constraint on said additional movable grid line. As in Claims 1, 8, and 15, the property that the additional container grid lines are movable is incorporated from <u>Balthaser</u>.

Art Unit: 2178

Lynn does not explicitly disclose a constraint solver maintains a layout obeying said user-defined constraint. However, <u>Farrah</u> further discloses resizing graphical objects in order to keep them in proportion relative to one another according to a rule describing the relationship between two graphical objects (page 6, paragraph 137). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a constraint solver that maintains a layout obeying said user defined constraint in <u>Lynn</u>. One would have been motivated to use a constraint solver that maintains a layout obeying said user defined constraint in order to preserve the rules set by the user that are applied to the relationships between graphical objects.

Claim 40: Lynn, Farrah, and Balthaser disclose a method for entering a presentation into a computer as in Claim 39 above, and Balthaser further discloses selecting said user-defined constraint from the group consisting of maintaining a spacing of said container grid lines, setting an aspect ratio of said selected one of said graphical objects, and a minimum size of said selected one of said graphical objects, and text formatting of said selected one of said graphical objects, and text formatting of said selected one of said graphical objects (column 37, lines 23-42).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select said user defined constraint from the claimed group in Lynn. One would have been motivated to enter a user-defined constraint on said additional movable grid line in order to allow the user to control the rules that are applied to the additional grid lines.

Art Unit: 2178

## Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-40 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OMAR ABDUL-ALI whose telephone number is (571)270-1694. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri(Alternate Fridays Off) 8:30 - 6:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OAA 5/08/2008 /Stephen S. Hong/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2178 Art Unit: 2178